

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/009,036	09/30/2002	Paul R. Sanberg	LAY-014PCTUS 5509		
51951 THE LUTHE	7590 02/23/2007 R LAW FIRM	FXAMINFI			
12198 E. COLUMBINE DR.			BALLARD, KIMBERLY A		
SCOTTSDAL	LE, AZ 85259		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1649		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/009,036	SANBERG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kimberly A. Ballard	1649		

	Kimberly A. Ballard	1649	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection.	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0001150
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE:		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant / interiorient	(1 102-02-7).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1,7,10,12-17 and 19.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ls to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. Other:		•	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments to the claims, e.g., the claimed limitations of "human" subject and administration of "at least 2 million hNT neuronal cells", etc., overcome the 102(b) of record but do not overcome the 103(a) rejections of record. Even though the prior art references teach administration to animal models, such models are used for the ultimate goal of application to human therapy, such that it would still be obvious to treat humans. The administration of at least 2 million cells in the claimed methods would clearly be a part of routine optimization, and thus in view of the art of record, it would still be obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to determine the optimal cell concentration for therapeutic administration. See MPEP 2144.05.

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Elijabet C. Kemmen